

Another Potential to Weep

Preliminary Investigative Report: The Congressional Hearings on the Oklahoma City Bombing



Report by:

Douglas J. Hagmann
Director, Northeast Intelligence Network
Internet: www.HomelandSecurityUS.com
E-Mail: director@HomelandSecurityUS.com

Date: 27 June 2005

Congressman Rohrabacher: The Script Has Already Been Written

“An appeaser is one who feeds a crocodile, hoping it will eat him last.”
Sir Winston Churchill

Congressman Dana Rohrabacher has made a promise to the American public that he cannot and will not keep. Earlier this year, he promised to hold congressional hearings on the April 19, 1995 Oklahoma City bombing that killed 171 Americans and tore into the very heart of our great country by both its location and body count. Currently, the congressman is engaged in a “fact-finding mission,” conducting interviews in advance of that hearing. His fact-finding missions, along with any investigative hearings he will lead, is nothing more than a modern day dog-and-pony show designed to appease those with inquiring minds and lingering doubts about the bombing. Extensive research conducted by this investigator finds that the congressman is professionally compromised by a litany of political friends and allies – some with ties to terrorism themselves. As such, he is unable to lead an unbiased and thorough investigation into terrorist attacks, especially those with potential ties to Islamic terrorism. Placing Congressman Rohrabacher in charge of an investigation into the Oklahoma City bombing is like a bad episode of “The Shield” television series, where there are as many different agendas as police officers, minus the machismo and fine acting. Unless Americans demand the whole truth and nothing but the truth, the outcome of the hearings has already been written.

Beyond the tragic loss of life, the reason that Americans need to know the whole truth behind the 1995 bombing is that it is intrinsically linked to the first World Trade Center bombing as well as the events of September 11, 2001. It holds key information about previous and current Islamic terror cells inside the U.S., and is an example of just how badly our domestic intelligence services, as well as those holding high political offices failed the American public, and continue to fail us to this day. Their failures are more than sins of omission; they are deliberate acts to cover the actions and inaction of politicians and domestic intelligence agents alike, spanning two administrations and both ends of the political spectrum.

Just as an indisputable link to Islamic-based terrorism in the attacks of 1993 and 2001 has been proven, so too can the bombing of the Murrah building in Oklahoma City in 1995. Accordingly, we desperately need a congressional inquiry into the Oklahoma City bombing, but not one that has already been scripted to insult the intelligence of every American citizen, including the memories of those who perished in that terrorist attack. Unfortunately, Congressman Rohrabacher cannot deliver what he says he can, because he has been marginalized by his past political positions and his own relationships with individuals and groups under indictment for their ties to terrorism.

Accordingly, we should not be so gullible to think that we are going to get anything more than someone going through the motions, or worse, straining to prove that all of those involved were home-grown, white supremacists when the overwhelming evidence that has already been uncovered points to Islamic terrorists inside the United States. The Congressman has a conflict of interest larger than the size of his California congressional district and should not be the one to handle this investigation, nor should we be so naïve to expect him to.

The 1995 Prior Warning & Intelligence Failures

On **Monday, February 27, 1995**, the U.S. House Task Force on Terrorism and Unconventional Warfare, a committee on which Congressman Dana Rohrabacher served, issued a written confidential warning that stated, in part that there would be "...[an] Islamic attack on U.S. soil," naming primary targets in Washington, D.C. Four days later, on **March 3, 1995**, the task force issued an updated warning that not only suggested an attack or attacks were imminent, but identified a plan to "strike at the heart of the U.S."

One month before the Oklahoma City bombing, the same committee on which Congressman Rohrabacher served issued an explicit warning to Federal Protective Services (FPS) and the intelligence community that an imminent attack was not only likely, but also warned that it was likely that "...the terrorists would strike at the heart of the U.S." Additionally, Israeli intelligence sources in contact with that committee as well as our own domestic intelligence also warned them on March 3, 1995 – forty-seven days before the bombing - that an impending terrorist attack would use "lily whites," or disenfranchised Americans, which are people without any identifiable background, record or profile that would not be suspected as terrorists to assist the Islamic terrorist in pulling off an attack on America.

It is obvious that the ink was barely dry on these warnings when the Oklahoma City bombing took place. Admittedly, there was not enough specificity contained within those warnings to prevent the bombing. Regardless, it takes on the appearance of a conflict of interest for Congressman Rohrabacher to spearhead any congressional committee to investigate the workings of that task force. Considering the loss of life associated with this bombing and intelligence related to future events, it is too important to even approach the appearance of impropriety.

No Simple Answers

Although it had already been established that Congressman Rohrabacher was on the U.S. House Task Force on Terrorism and Unconventional Warfare in 1995, it was the intent of this investigator to learn what the response from his office would be when asked about his dates of service after establishing his presence on that task force.

It is often stated that during a trial, an attorney should never ask a witness any question to which he does not already know the answer. Last week, that same reasoning was employed when I asked my son, a college student at a Pennsylvania university to call the office of Congressman Dana Rohrabacher to verify that he had served on the U.S. House Task Force on Terrorism and Unconventional Warfare in 1995. Already knowing the answer but hoping to obtain more information and verification from the congressman's office, I listened while he made the call. It was then that I knew that even simplest of answers posed to the congressman's office would be elusive To most people outside of the political arena, that question merely requires a simple "yes or no" answer, and perhaps a starting and ending date for the congressman's tenure on this now-defunct task force. Instead, what I heard was hard for even me to believe, despite listening to government double-speak for years.

First, no staffer in the congressman's California office seemed able to answer that simple question. Following several uncomfortable pauses and the staffer asking my son why he wanted to know, he was transferred to a person described by the staffer as someone who could and should be able to answer his question - Dr. Fadi Essmaeel, the Homeland Security Director under U.S. Congressman Dana Rohrabacher's California Office.

"I can't tell you no, but I also can't say yes because he is involved in so many different groups regarding homeland security and naming just one from the past I can't recall," stated Dr. Essmaeel. *"He has been involved in many committees."* Then Dr. Esmaeel asked my son why he wanted to know, as if his answer would depend on the reason he gave. My son replied that he was performing research for a college term paper due in the fall.

"Yes, well, was he on the U.S. House Task Force on Terrorism and Unconventional Warfare in 1995?" my son asked.

"I cannot answer that question" responded Essmaeel. *"Maybe yes, it is possible, yes, but maybe no,"* Esmaeel added, reminding me of a bad game of "Ask the 8-Ball" from my youth.

"Would you please check?" my son asked, now looking at me in dazed bewilderment.

"Tell me your e-mail address and I will send you information," promised Esmaeel, as he ended the surreal conversation. My son dutifully provided his college e-mail address, hoping for a definitive answer.

A few minutes later, he received the promised e-mail from Dr. Essmaeel. Rather than providing a simple answer to a most basic question, the e-mail merely contained [a link](#) to the Homeland Security portion of the congressman's website, which tells nothing of his task force tenure.

Insight into Congressman Rohrabacher's Political Friends, Supporters & Campaign Contributors


Research and investigation shows that Congressman Dana Rohrabacher has been funded by various Islamic groups and individuals, including **Abdurahman Alamoudi** who was recently indicted for providing material support to terrorist organizations.

Federal Election Commission records show that Alamoudi and his associates have given Congressman Rohrabacher in excess of \$34,000 in contributions during his time in congress.



Abdurahman Alamoudi was arrested on money laundering charges after he allegedly accepted a briefcase containing \$340,000 in sequentially numbered \$100 bills from front groups tied to Osama bin Laden and Libyan dictator Muammar Qaddafi. Alamoudi founded the American Muslim Council (AMC) and has praised such terrorist groups as Hamas and Hezbollah. He also pled guilty in July 2004 to charges related to a Libyan plot to assassinate Saudi Crown Prince Abdullah. A federal agent has reportedly supplied an affidavit swearing that Alamoudi told other Muslim Americans in 1996, "If we are outside this country, we can say, 'Oh, Allah, destroy America!' But once we are here, our mission is to change it."

Alamoudi has repeatedly hosted political meetings where he named Congressman Rohrabacher as a guest of honor. At one of those meetings, just five months before the attacks of September 11, 2001 Rohrabacher blamed the United States for Middle East tensions and for giving "whatever Israel wants." In turn, the AMC gave Rohrabacher an award.



Abdurahman Alamoudi also helped organize the Muslim chaplain program for the Defense Department. Not surprisingly, an Alamoudi-backed chaplain ministering to Taliban and al-Qaeda detainees was allegedly caught mishandling classified material. In a March 2002 affidavit, a U.S. customs agent declared that Alamoudi associate **Jamal Barzinji** operated several companies that secretly offered financial support for terrorists involved in international murder and bombings, and Barzinji has close ties to the terrorist group Islamic Jihad.

Another associate of both Rohrabacher and Alamoudi is **Khaled Saffuri**, a Palestinian Muslim who has ties to Islamic radical elements throughout the Middle East. Ironically, Saffuri frequently attended Washington, D.C., strategy meetings with Rohrabacher. In



Khaled Saffuri

December 2001, a member of Rohrabacher's own congressional staff wrote a detailed memo to Congressman Rohrabacher about Saffuri's role in providing financial assistance to the families of homicide bombers, and described the latter's outrage when President Bush froze the assets of the Holy Land Foundation for its suspected ties to Islamic terrorism.

The memo from the staff member to Rohrabacher stated, in part that Saffuri was "giving money to an organization that makes it possible for suicide bombers to carry out their missions" and that his "loyalties" are not to the U.S. The memo concluded, "I know Saffuri is your friend and that you think highly of him. I believe that as your friend, if he is a true friend, he will understand the reason that you would not want to receive further counsel from him." Rohrabacher apparently chose to ignore the warning despite its publication in Insight magazine in May 2002. Based on the inaction of the congressman, the staffer quit.

According to J. Michael Waller, a senior writer at Insight, Rohrabacher's activity was evidence that a "terror-support network across the United States" had "bought themselves political access and political cover in Washington in what appear to have been attempts to undermine existing federal counterterrorism laws."

Reimbursement of Travel Expenses – A Sampling

Research into Congressman Dana Rohrabacher's travel expenses found that he was reimbursed by a number of sources during his time as a congressman that could cause a definite conflict of interest in any investigative oversight position. A sampling of his filings – Schedule VII forms claiming the source of travel funding is revealing:

1995 Travel Expense Reimbursement

Name Dana Rohrbacher Page 4 of 4

SCHEDULE VII — TRAVEL PAYMENTS AND REIMBURSEMENTS

Identify the source and list travel itinerary, dates, and nature of expenses provided for travel and travel-related expenses totalling more than \$250 received by you, your spouse, or a dependent child during the reporting period. Indicate whether a family member accompanied the traveler at the sponsor's expense, and the amount of time, if any, that was not at the sponsor's expense. Disclosure is required regardless of whether the expenses were reimbursed or paid directly by the sponsor.

Exclude: Travel-related expenses provided by federal, state, and local governments, or by a foreign government required to be separately reported under the Foreign Gifts and Decorations Act (5 U.S.C. § 7342); travel paid for by a Federal political committee; travel provided to a spouse or dependent child that is totally independent of his or her relationship to you. For further information, see instructions, pages 24–25.

Source	Date(s)	Point of Departure—Destination— Point of Return	Lodging? (Y/N)	Food? (Y/N)	Was a Family Member Included? (Y/N)	Any time not at sponsor's expense
<i>Examples:</i> Chicago Chamber of Commerce	Mar. 2	Wash., D.C.—Chicago—Wash., D.C.	N	N	N	N
Roycroft Corporation	Aug. 6–13	Wash., D.C.—Los Angeles—Cleveland	Y	Y	Y	4 Days
Chinese National Association of Industry & Commerce	Feb. 4–9	Los Angeles—Taipei—San Francisco	Y	Y	N	N
United States—New Zealand Council	April 2–11	Los Angeles—New Zealand—Los Angeles	Y	Y	N	5 days
Chinese National Association of Industry & Commerce	May 18–21	Wash., D.C.—Taipei—Wash., D.C.	Y	Y	N	N
National Council US-Arab Relations	Aug. 16–31	Los Angeles—Rome—Shant Arabid— Kuwait—Pakistan—Madras—Rome— Los Angeles	Y	Y	N	6 days

1997 Travel Expense Reimbursement

Name RUHRBACHER Page 6 of 6

SCHEDULE VII — TRAVEL PAYMENTS AND REIMBURSEMENTS

Identify the source and list travel itinerary, dates, and nature of expenses provided for travel and travel-related expenses totalling more than \$250 received by you, your spouse, or a dependent child during the reporting period. Indicate whether a family member accompanied the traveler at the sponsor's expense, and the amount of time, if any, that was not at the sponsor's expense. Disclosure is required regardless of whether the expenses were reimbursed or paid directly by the sponsor.

Exclude: Travel-related expenses provided by federal, state, and local governments, or by a foreign government required to be separately reported under the Foreign Gifts and Decorations Act (5 U.S.C. § 7342); travel paid for by a Federal political committee; travel provided to a spouse or dependent child that is totally independent of his or her relationship to you.

Source	Date(s)	Point of Departure—Destination— Point of Return	Lodging? (Y/N)	Food? (Y/N)	Was a Family Member Included? (Y/N)	Any time not at sponsor's expense
<i>Examples:</i> Chicago Chamber of Commerce	Mar. 2	Wash., D.C.—Chicago—Wash., D.C.	N	N	N	N
Roycroft Corporation	Aug. 6–13	Wash., D.C.—Los Angeles—Cleveland	Y	Y	Y	4 Days
CHINESE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INDUSTRY AND COMMERCE	MAR 14-19 '97	LOS ANGELES-TAIPEI-LOS ANGELES	Y	Y	Y	N
ARAB AMERICAN INSTITUTE	DEC 29, 1997 - JAN 11, 1998	LOS ANGELES-Beirut-Damascus- Los Angeles	Y	Y	Y	6 days

2000 Travel Expense Reimbursement

SCHEDULE VII — TRAVEL PAYMENTS AND REIMBURSEMENTS

Identify the source and list travel itinerary, dates, and nature of expenses provided for travel and travel-related expenses totalling more than \$260 received by you, your spouse, or a dependent child during the reporting period. Indicate whether a family member accompanied the traveler at the sponsor's expense, and the amount of time, if any, that was not at the sponsor's expense. Disclosure is required regardless of whether the expenses were reimbursed or paid directly by the sponsor.

Exclude: Travel-related expenses provided by federal, state, and local governments, or by a foreign government required to be separately reported under the Foreign Gifts and Decorations Act (5 U.S.C. § 7342); political travel that is required to be reported under the Federal Election Campaign Act; travel provided to a spouse or dependent child that is totally independent of his or her relationship to you.

Source	Date(s)	Point of Departure—Destination— Point of Return	Lodging? (Y/N)	Food? (Y/N)	Was a Family Member Included? (Y/N)	Any time <u>not</u> at sponsor's expense
Examples: Chicago Chamber of Commerce	Mar. 2	Wash., D.C.—Chicago—Wash., D.C.	N	N	N	N
Roycroft Corporation	Aug. 6-11	Wash., D.C.—Los Angeles—Cleveland	Y	Y	Y	2 Days
ISLAMIC INSTITUTE	APR 13-17	Wash DC- London-Doha (Qatar) - London-Wash DC	N	N	YES	-----
Government of Qatar	APR 13-17	Wash DC- London - Doha (Qatar) - London-Wash	Y	Y	YES	-----

Questions Not Asked are Never Answered

To this point, the evidence presented by this investigator has been essentially circumstantial in nature. Nonetheless, that evidence has laid the proper foundation for the apparent scope of Congressman Rohrabacher's fact-finding mission in advance of potential Congressional hearings on the Oklahoma City bombing.

Between October 2001 through the present, this investigator has reviewed thousands of pages of open source documents pertaining to the Oklahoma City bombing, and has personally investigated a number of issues and individuals – many who have not been named or otherwise identified in any public venue. From November 2003 through the present, this investigator has conducted multiple interviews with former KFOR television reporter and author of the book *[The Third Terrorist](#)*. **It is important to note that after conducting an investigation into as many aspects of the Oklahoma City bombing as possible and permissible, the only definitive investigative work that has withstood the most intense investigative scrutiny is the research conducted by Ms. Davis as presented in her book.** Research performed by this investigator has failed to find any legitimate or reliable connection to any groups or individuals (i.e. white supremacists) identified by other researchers. Simply stated, this investigator has found no evidence to support the assertions of any such Neo-Nazi, white-supremacist involvement in the events of April 19, 1995. Conversely, this investigator has found overwhelming evidence to support a Middle Eastern connection to the bombing of the Murrah Federal Building.

It is beyond the intention and scope of this investigator to suggest that Congressman Rohrabacher should have any preconceived ideas in his alleged fact-finding mission concerning the events of, and leading up to 9:02 am on April 19, 1995. In fact, an investigation must never be directed by anything but the quest for truth.

Based on that premise, it is the professional opinion of this investigator that it is equally irresponsible to avoid asking all of the questions that need to be asked in order to secure the entire truth in any investigation, whether civil or criminal. In this case, the tragic loss of human life, the current threat that we face, and our ability to correct our past intelligence mistakes relies heavily on asking every question, thoroughly documenting each answer, and talking to every reliable witness to reach a definitive conclusion. Accordingly, this investigator was dismayed to learn, in multiple interviews with Ms. Davis, that Congressman Dana Rohrabacher was presented with a list of bona-fide questions to be posed to FBI Director Robert Mueller (at his request), but failed to do so. Only two of the following questions were asked, neither of which were answered completely, according to Ms. Davis' subsequent conversations with Congressman Rohrabacher.

Having received the list of questions that Congressman Rohrabacher had – and continues to have – in his possession, redacted where necessary to avoid identification of witnesses and potential suspects, they are presented as follows:

Questions for FBI

- Is the Oklahoma City bombing investigation officially open or closed? If the case is considered open, please state the reasons.
- Why did the FBI fail to interview Iraqi national Hussain Al-Hussaini, whom multiple witnesses placed in the company of Timothy McVeigh prior to the bombing, exiting the explosives-laden Ryder truck in front of the Alfred P. Murrah Building, and speeding away from the burning federal complex moments after the blast?
- State the whereabouts of Hussain Al-Hussaini from 5:00 A.M – 10:00 A.M. on April 19, 1995. If Al-Hussaini's location is unknown to the Bureau, explain why.
- State the questions, answers, and test results of the FBI polygraph examination administered to “material witness” Jordanian national, Abraham Ahmad.
- Outline the evidence and witness statements upon which the FBI relied when issuing an all-points-bulletin for a brown Chevrolet pickup with foreign occupants at 11:56 A.M. on April 19, 1995. Why was the APB cancelled on the afternoon of the bombing? Who cancelled it?
- In late May 1995, the FBI returned a stolen 1983 GMC High Sierra pickup to its owners, Luke and Lori Conner (pseudonyms). State the specific evidence which led the FBI to conclude that the truck had no connection to the terror attack on the Murrah Building. Why did FBI Special Agent Jim Ellis tell four witnesses that the brown truck was used in the Oklahoma City bombing?

- The FBI has in its custody three sets of fingerprints recovered from the stolen 1983 GMC High Sierra pickup. Have the prints been compared to any immigration files? If so, what were the results? If not, why not?
- Identify the “known car thief” that the FBI believes stole the 1983 GMC High Sierra pickup. What evidence did the FBI develop that proves the pickup was stolen by a “known car thief.” How and why did the FBI eliminate as suspects in the auto theft case the Middle Eastern men whom multiple witnesses identified in that stolen GMC truck before, during, and after the April 19 attack?
- Why did the FBI refuse to return the original motel records that the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms confiscated from the Oklahoma City motel where several witnesses identified McVeigh in the presence of Middle Eastern national in the weeks, days, and final hours leading up to 9:02 A.M. on April 19, 1995? Where are the original records now?
- FBI Special Agent Dan Vogel (retired) has stated that he received twenty-two affidavits from former KFOR-TV journalist Jayna Davis in January 1999 while working for the FBI field office in Oklahoma City. What happened to the affidavits? Why were those witness statements withheld from the judge, prosecutors, and defense attorneys in the trials of Timothy McVeigh and Terry Nichols? Why were none of the witnesses interviewed by field agents?
- In November 1998, the Justice Department permitted one of its lead investigators for the Oklahoma Bomb Task Force to testify before the Oklahoma County Grand Jury that was tasked with investigating additional bombing conspirators. During the agent’s appearance before the panel, he addressed the testimony of several witnesses who had interviewed with journalist Jayna Davis. However, the Justice Department refused permission for FBI Special Agent Dan Vogel (retired) to testify during Terry Nichols’ October 2001 preliminary hearing in his state murder trial to confirm his receipt of twenty-two affidavits from reporter Davis. Explain why Agent Vogel was barred from taking the stand while another federal agent was allowed to testify.
- Explain the FBI’s policy when addressing witness affidavits that name specific individuals in the commission of a crime.
- Why did the FBI withhold the interview statement of witness Rachel Sealy (pseudonym) from the defense teams?
- Name the Oklahoma City witnesses who participated in the live lineup of Timothy McVeigh at the Oklahoma County jail on April 22, 1995. Identify the witnesses who chose McVeigh.

- Why did the FBI suspect federal felon Dr. Anwar Abdul (pseudonym) of ties to the Palestinian Liberation Organization at the time he was being charged and pled guilty to insurance fraud?
- The FBI sketch of John Doe 2 based upon the testimony of downtown witness Michael Benton (pseudonym) was not disclosed to the public during the international manhunt for suspects. Why? Why was this sketch and Benton's FBI 302 withheld from Terry Nichols' defense counsel? Was this sketch ever compared to the photograph of the man whom Benton identified as the mysterious jogger he observed timing his run from the Murrah Building at sunrise on April 19, 1995?
- Why did the FBI conduct no interviews with the employees and guests of the Cactus Motel who corroborated owner Randy Christian's testimony to federal agents that several men of Arab extraction were seen on the motel grounds with Timothy McVeigh, Terry Nichols, a yellow Mercury Marquis, brown SUV, and a Ryder truck that reeked of diesel fuel?
- Provide evidence which conclusively establishes the whereabouts of Timothy McVeigh during the night of April 18, 1995?
- How many witnesses did the FBI interview who placed Timothy McVeigh with additional conspirators in downtown Oklahoma City on the morning of the bombing? Name each witness and provide a summary of the FBI interviews. Why were none called to testify before during the Denver trials of McVeigh and Nichols?
- Provide an index of the twenty-two surveillance videotapes that the FBI confiscated from downtown Oklahoma City businesses in the wake of the bombing. State the date, source, and content of each video recording. Why did the Justice Department request U.S. District Judge Richard Matsch seal those tapes?
- Provide an index of the locations and number of fingerprints that were recovered by the Oklahoma Bomb Task Force and federal investigators during the Bureau's investigation of the 1995 Murrah Building attack. How many of those prints were compared to immigration files? If none were compared to the Middle Eastern nationals named by Jayna Davis' witnesses, explain why.

The above questions were submitted by Ms. Davis to Congressman Rohrabacher months ago, yet remain unanswered. The reason they have yet to be answered is that they have yet to be asked, states Ms. Davis, who continues to be in contact with Congressman Rohrabacher.

The question posed by this investigator to Congressman Rohrabacher, who asserts that he is on a quest for the truth, is simply, why haven't you posed these questions?

Terry Nichols is Interviewed by Congressman Rohrabacher



As confirmed today by Jayna Davis, Congressman Dana Rohrabacher is meeting with convicted bomber Terry Nichols at the Supermax Prison in Florence, Colorado today. His interview is scheduled to last 3 ½ hours. Asked by Congressman Rohrabacher to provide him with a list of questions he should ask Terry Nichols, she provided him with a list pursuant to his request. This investigator obtained a redacted copy of the questions **ALREADY IN THE POSSESSION OF THE CONGRESSMAN** as he is meeting with Nichols at this time.

The following is the list of questions that Ms. Davis provided, redacted as necessary:

Questions for Oklahoma City Bomber Terry Nichols

- Have you met any of the Iraqi soldiers with whom Timothy McVeigh was seen in the months, weeks, days and final hours leading up to the Oklahoma City bombing?
- Have you met former Iraqi Republican Guardsman, Hussain Hashem Al-Hussaini, whom witnesses identified as John Doe 2 in sworn affidavits?
- Your passport records documented that you took five trips to the Philippines between 1990 and 1995. Your ex-wife Lana Padilla and Timothy McVeigh's defense team claim that you took approximately twenty flights to the South Pacific during the same time frame. How do you explain the discrepancy? Did you travel under an alias? If so, why?
- How did you fund your frequent plane flights to the Philippines?
- Lana Padilla said that Timothy McVeigh purchased your first ticket to the Philippines in 1989. Explain why?
- Why did Lana Padilla state in a recorded interview with former KFOR-TV reporter Jayna Davis that your Filipina mail order bride, Marife Torres, served as a "cover story" to justify your frequent forays to the Philippines?
- The affidavit of Daisy Legaspi, your former Filipino tour guide, claims that you requested to meet someone who knew how to make a bomb when you were shopping for a mail order bride. Please explain this allegation.

- In a handwritten affidavit, Edwin Angeles, the late co-founder of the Al-Qaeda spin-off chapter known as Abu Sayyaf, identified you as the Kansas farmer who met with the mastermind of the 1993 World Trade Center bombing Ramzi Yousef. Angeles stated that you were discussing “bomb making activities.” Please explain this identification of you in the company of Osama Bin Laden’s chief bomb maker.
- Angeles also identified Abdul Hakim Murad and Wali Khan Amin Shah, Middle Eastern terrorists who would later be convicted in the foiled “Bojinka” plot, as attendees during your meeting with Ramzi Yousef. How do you explain Murad’s written and verbal confession to a prison guard on April 19, 1995 that he and the “Philippine Liberation Army” were responsible for the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Building?
- On December 11, 1994, both you and Ramzi Yousef were present in Cebu City. How do you explain the coincidence?
- After you boarded a plane for your final excursion to the Philippines in November 1994, your son Josh tearfully told his mother Lana Padilla that he “would never see his father again.” Why did Josh believe you would not return?
- Why did you leave behind a will, life insurance policy, and cryptic instructions for your ex-wife to carry out in the event of your death? Why did you believe you might die during your last visit to Cebu City? Where did you get the \$20,000 cash that you taped to a kitchen drawer for Lana Padilla? Why did you return to the United States earlier than planned in January 1995 following the arrest of Abdul Hakim Hakim? Why did you tell your ex-wife that you could get “killed down there?” Why did you begin carrying a gun for personal protection after your hasty return from the Philippines?
- How do you explain the seventy-eight calls that you placed on the Daryl Bridges phone debit card to a boarding house in Cebu City, which McVeigh’s defense team reported housed students from a local university well known for Islamic militancy? Who is the boarding house owner Ernesto Malaluan?
- How do you explain your calls to the boarding house during the many occasions when your wife, Marife, was in the United States?
- How do you explain the slew of phone card calls you made to pay phones and untraceable numbers in the Philippines from public pay phones in Kansas?

- The Daryl Bridges phone records reveal that you called the Cebu City boarding house shortly before you surrendered to federal agents at the Herington Police Department. Your bride, Marife, was in Kansas at the time you placed the call. You were preparing to be questioned as a possible material witness in the largest act of mass murder in U.S. history, and the final call you placed was to the Philippines. Name the party you contacted and the purpose of your call.
- On April 21, 1995, the day on which you surrendered to the FBI for questioning, records reveal that an unidentified party dialed your home phone in Herington, Kansas twice, leaving no message on the answering machine. The calls originated from a pay phone at the Circus Circus casino in Las Vegas, Nevada. Please identify the caller and his or her purpose for contacting you.
- At the time two mysterious calls were placed to your home on April 21 from Las Vegas, Dr. Anwar Abdul (pseudonym), a Palestinian real estate mogul who employed former Iraqi soldiers whom witnesses identified in the company of McVeigh during the critical stages of the bombing plot, was staying at Binion's Horseshoe casino, which is walking distance from Circus Circus. How do you explain the coincidence?
- Lana Padilla stated that you and McVeigh came to Las Vegas monthly to visit your son Josh, and during those visits, you were seen in the company of several Middle Eastern men. Please identify those individuals and state the nature of your relationship with these foreign nationals.
- Recently you publicly revealed your belief that Arkansas gun dealer Roger Moore was the "third terrorist." State the reasons why you disclosed Moore's name a decade later, but you withheld that information during your Oklahoma state murder trial in which your attorneys were pursuing the theory that John Doe 2 could be an FBI informant in a sting operation gone awry.
- In July 1995, Lana Padilla stated in a recorded phone conversation with reporter Jayna Davis that you spoke via telephone from prison with your son Josh, and during the conversation, you warned him that the FBI had not yet caught John Doe 2. At the time you reportedly made that statement, the Bureau had already thoroughly questioned and cleared Roger Moore of complicity in the bombing. So how could he be the third terrorist you accused a decade later?

Summary

At issue is whether the latest of a series of fact-finding missions and inquiries into the bombing of the federal building in Oklahoma City in 1995, now spearheaded by Congressman Dana Rohrabacher, will be a quest for truth or a smoke-and-mirrors campaign to appease an inquiring public. It is the professional opinion of this investigator that the proof and ultimate answer to that question will be evident in the coming hours, days and weeks. Currently, it lies on the lap of Congressman Dana Rohrabacher, who will either be guided by the morally honorable quest for truth, or influenced by political affiliations or by the politicalization of the war against terror.

Etched into the wall of the central lobby at the CIA headquarters is a verse from the Bible's book of John: *"And ye shall know the truth and the truth shall set you free."*

America deserves – and should demand - the truth. All of it.

Douglas J. Hagmann
Director, Northeast Intelligence Network